

Recommendation from OMS SLC Members

The OMS representatives on the Seams Liaison Committee recommend OMS support the following recommendations from the decision matrix listed as Item 9a in the board packet. The matrix contains all of the recommendations the SLC will consider at its November 9 meeting. The OMS members of the committee recommend the following and welcome your feedback:

Overall: The OMS does not oppose any of the recommendations listed in the matrix, but does have prioritization preferences and potential concerns to address.

<p>Issue 2: Rate Pancaking (High Priority)</p>	<p>These are the two recommendations where the SLC would have the most influence. Issue 2 is pursuing additional information, which could lead to recommendations down the road. Issue 4 is promoting the kickoff of a stakeholder process to consider the merits of, and work on, developing a TMEP process.</p>
<p>The OMS supports the idea of creating the working group as long as its meetings are open to anyone who is interested in participating. There is particularly high interest in items 1 (inventory different types of rate pancaking) and 5 (discuss potential tariff changes in lieu of pancaked rates).</p>	
<p>Issue 4: TMEP (High Priority)</p>	
<p>Two of the OMS SLC members believe this should be a high priority. There are concerns from LA related to what the TMEP study process would look like. It is our hope that those concerns could be addressed during the stakeholder processes that the SLC is recommending at this time.</p>	
<p>Issue 3: Interregional Planning (Medium Priority)</p>	<p>These items are being covered by various studies by the RTOs, including the joint study. The SLC would act in a monitoring capacity and receive updates as needed.</p>
<p>The OMS supports the SLC putting focus on the interregional aspects of the ongoing long-range planning efforts at each RTO.</p>	
<p>Issue 5: Generator Interconnection (High Priority)</p>	
<p>The OMS supports the SLC serving as a place for regular updates from MISO and SPP joint study.</p>	<p>All of these items are in flight in either the RTO roadmap processes (Issue 1 and 6) or at FERC (Issue 7). The OMS recommendation is to support improvements as individual states within the roadmap ranking processes and to follow their progress generally.</p>
<p>Issue 1: Market-to-Market (Medium Priority)</p>	
<p>Many of the recommendations made by the market monitor are already underway in the RTO roadmap processes so there may not be a benefit of making further recommendations. The OMS is supportive of individual members participating in the roadmap ranking processes to ensure the M2M improvements are implemented in a timely manner. We also recommend the RTOs coordinate these items within their respective roadmaps to ensure similar timelines.</p>	
<p>Item 6: Coordinated Transaction Sched. (Med Priority)</p>	
<p>We recommend continued work on the improved price forecasts and additional investigation into the impacts of potential fee removal.</p>	
<p>Issue 7: Interface Pricing (Low Priority)</p>	

<p>The OMS supports the recommendation by the market monitor and the need for the SLC to monitor the ongoing FERC proceedings to ensure recommendations by market monitor are implemented through that process.</p>	
---	--