



Organization of MISO States

**ORGANIZATION OF MISO STATES, INC.  
Executive Committee Meeting Minutes  
June 28, 2012**

**Approved July 27, 2012**

Robert Kenney, President of the Organization of MISO States, Inc. (OMS), called the June 28, 2012 meeting of the OMS Executive Committee to order via conference call at approximately 1:00 p.m. (CDT). The following directors participated in the meeting:

President – Robert Kenney, Missouri  
Vice-President – Beth Roads, proxy for Kari Bennett, Indiana  
Treasurer – Burl Haar, proxy for David Boyd, Minnesota  
Secretary – Chancy Bittner, proxy for Libby Jacobs, Iowa  
Commissioner at Large – Eric Callisto, Wisconsin

Others present on the conference call:

Kentucky – Linda Breathitt  
Wisconsin – Randel Pilo  
OMS Staff – Amy Schmelzer

The directors listed above established the necessary quorum of three (3) Executive Committee members.

Eric Callisto moved approval of the minutes from May 24, 2012. Robert Kenney seconded. The minutes were approved by consensus.

**BUSINESS**

**1. Status of Governance Proposals**

- Robert Kenney reiterated the plan from the Special Board Meeting on June 13 and indicated a need to have an OMS proposal completed in advance of the July 18 MISO AC meeting so that the other stakeholders would have an opportunity to respond to them. Iowa and Wisconsin have drafted a planning proposal. Illinois has circulated a response to that draft. President Kenney is leading a group that is working on the Section 205 proposal. An initial draft has been circulated and comments are being received. Because this draft has not been completed, it has not been widely circulated.

- Eric Callisto explained the Iowa/Wisconsin draft, which is a compilation of the Iowa, Wisconsin and MISO proposals.
  - Section 1 – gives OMS the right to review and raise concerns to the PAC and Board of Directors at the beginning of the planning year.
  - Section 2 – during the MTEP process OMS may identify certain needs as state specific and MISO would work those into its planning and modeling
  - Section 3 – OMS will have the opportunity to present specific modeling futures during MTEP
  - Section 4 – allows for an on-going right to raise concerns to MISO and MISO has an obligation to respond.
  - Section 5 – at the close of the planning year, OMS will have the opportunity to present its concerns about the planning process to the MISO Board of Directors, PAC and AC. This will have an opportunity to be a retrospective of the process and a tool for allowing MISO to fine-tune the MTEP process for the next year
  - Section 6 – this is the yellow-light component, which is an opportunity for OMS, with some sort of super-majority vote, to have OMS ask questions of MISO staff and raise major concerns with portfolios of projects, with the projects being unable to proceed until MISO has given OMS a substantive response. This would occur prior to the Appendix A projects going to the MISO Board.
  - Section 7 – discusses voting rights.
  - Section 8 – requires MISO to fully fund OMS's enhanced role.
- Illinois expressed concern that the proposal doesn't go far enough, however no one from Illinois was present on the EC call to present their documents.
- Chancy Bittner noted that in regard to section 7, Iowa prefers 1 state 1 vote instead of voting representation based on load in the MISO footprint.
- Beth Roads questioned the voting based on load. Commissioner Callisto explained that a memo was distributed at the Des Moines meeting that captured the various loads across the footprint. He indicated he would re-circulate the memo. Mr. Bittner noted that MISO does not aggregate load data by state and asked for confirmation that Wisconsin had generated that information on its own. Commissioner Callisto confirmed that Wisconsin did pull that information together on its own.
- Ms. Roads indicated that Indiana is generally supportive of the proposal, but notes that Illinois does make some good points, specifically that a lot of what's in the proposal can be done currently. Commissioner Callisto agreed, but said that inclusion of these issues in the tariff would be consistent with FERC Order 1000. President Kenney agreed with Commissioner Callisto's position.
- Burl Haar stated that Minnesota still needs to discuss the proposal with all their commissioners. Commissioner Callisto asked if Minnesota would be able to do that prior to the July 18 MISO Advisory Committee meeting and Burl Haar indicated yes, but it would be a challenge. He also asked for clarification on the planned distribution schedule of the documents, asking if they would be available to the other stakeholders for consideration in advance of the July 18 meeting. President Kenney said he would like to have the documents circulated by the July 18 meeting and that perhaps approval at the July 12 Board meeting is too close to the AC meeting to allow for proper consideration by the other stakeholders. It was suggested to have a Special Board meeting on July 6 at 1:00 p.m. CDT to finalize both proposals for distribution.

## **2. OMS Financial Management Processes**

Robert Kenney provided some background on OMS's lack of a Financial Oversight Committee and then this issue was tabled for the next Executive Committee meeting as Bill Smith was not in attendance and his input was necessary for the discussion.

**Administrative Report**

There was no administrative report.

**The meeting adjourned at approximately 1:32 p.m. CDT**