

ORGANIZATION OF MISO STATES, INC.
Board of Directors Special Meeting
Conference Call Notes
April 13, 2006

The following board members or their proxies participated in the meeting:

Kevin Wright, Illinois
David Hadly, Indiana
John Norris, Iowa
Mark David Goss, Kentucky
Laura Chappelle, Michigan
Steve Gaw, Missouri
Bob Cupit, proxy for Ken Nickolai, Minnesota
Greg Jergeson, Montana
Tim Texel, proxy for Eugene Bady, Nebraska
Susan Wefald, North Dakota
Judy Jones, Ohio
Kim Joyce, proxy for Kim Pizzingrilli, Pennsylvania
David Sapper, proxy for Dan Ebert, Wisconsin

Absent

Manitoba, South Dakota

Non-proxy staff participating

Randy Rismiller, Illinois
Bob Pauley, Indiana
Brad Borum, Indiana
John Harvey, Iowa
Michigan Staff
Mike Proctor, Missouri
Candace Beery, Montana

Also Bill Smith and Julie Mitchell - OMS Staff

The directors and proxies listed above established the necessary quorum for the meeting of at least eight directors being present.

Midwest ISO Update on Market Conditions – Graham Edwards.

- RSG is down.
- MISO has filed the first response to functional control and consolidation. They hope to have the first part of ancillary services in place by mid-2007 with regulation piece to follow.
- MISO is committed to resource adequacy and transmission construction.

Approval of Minutes – March 9, 2006

Susan Wefald moved to accept the minutes of the March 9th Board meeting. Kevin Wright seconded. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

Review of the Executive Committee Meeting – April 4, 2006

- Graham Edwards informed the Executive Committee that state liaison responsibility is being transferred to Steve Kozey. Graham Edwards, Steve Kozey and John Bear are the primary outreach team.
- Discussed plans for resource adequacy conference. Assigned balance authority issue to Market Work Group.
- Discussed strategic plan.
- Reviewed upcoming deadlines.
- Went into closed session to discuss personnel review.

Treasurer's Report - April 2006

Kim Joyce presented the March, 2006 Treasurer's report on behalf of OMS Treasurer Kim Pizzingrilli. Bill Smith pointed out the last CD pays out this month.

Cash On Hand

The beginning balance as of March 1 for the Wells Fargo Business Performance Savings Account was \$27,700.01. There was a monthly service fee of \$20.00* that was charged to the account. Interest earned for this month was \$49.45. The March 31, 2006 balance was \$27,729.46. The beginning balance as of March 1 for the Chase Bank One Checking account was \$53,420.70. The total disbursements from the checking account for March 2006 were \$32,899.39, deposits totaled \$120.00 and interest earned was \$24.67. As of March 31, 2006, the checking account bank/book balance was \$20,665.39 (with no checks outstanding). The Balance for the Certificate of Deposit as of March 31, 2006 is \$101,952.38. The total certificates of deposit, savings and checking account balances as of February 28, 2006 is **\$ 150,347.82**.

* - On this particular "level" of savings account, when a balance goes below an average of \$30,000 daily, the account is charged a service fee. The bank's recommendation if we were not going to maintain the minimum balance of \$30,000, to drop down to a lower level account which does not pay as much interest, but also does not charge a service fee. Since the CD pays out this month, increasing the balance above the \$30,000 threshold, we will reevaluate the type of account in the future.

John Norris moved to approve the treasurer's report. Greg Jergeson seconded. The motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

Business Items

1. MISO Advisory Committee Issues – Judy Jones.

- Judy Jones reviewed the special Advisory Committee meeting on April 12th accepting the MISO staff proposals on RSG improvements.
- John Harvey voted for those proposals, Judy Jones abstained.
- John Harvey explained the proposals.
- Steve Gaw asked what the next step was. John Harvey said tariff filings would be made, software updates would be rolled out and the changes would be in place by the fall.

2. Approval of OMS Presentation of MISO Annual Stakeholder Meeting – David Sapper.

David Sapper introduced the document. Susan Wefald moved to adopt the document. Kevin Wright seconded.

- Bob Cupit presented Ken Nickolai's suggested amendment regarding MISO neutrality. It was agreed to insert it as a bolded bullet point at the end.
- The amendment was adopted by unanimous voice vote.
- The comments were approved by unanimous voice vote.

3. Approval of OMS Strategic Plan – Kevin Wright.

Kevin Wright moved for the adoption of the strategic plan. North Dakota seconded.

- Susan Wefald suggested changing the wording of two sentences on pages 11 and 12 relating to 2006.
- Kevin Wright agreed to find a place to insert Ken Nickolai's suggested amendment.
- The motion to adopt the plan passed by unanimous voice vote.

4. Approval of OMS Comment to FERC Technical Conference in Docket ER06-18 (RECB) – Randy Rismiller/Mike Proctor.

- Randy Rismiller gave some background on the upcoming technical conference.
- The talking points were discussed and some changes were made.

Kevin Wright moved to adopt the talking points. Laura Chappelle seconded. The motion was passed by voice vote with Ohio abstaining.

5. Approval of Comment on MISO's Filing on Consolidation of Balancing Authority Functions (made April 3, 2006) – Candace Beery/Angie Butcher

There is no deadline for comment and there is not a comment prepared for the Board. Angie Butcher gave the Board an update on MISO's filing.

- A Market Work Group meeting is scheduled for next week to review the filing and consider whether OMS should comment.
- Laura Chappelle offered some changes to the document.

6. Ancillary Services Roundtable (April 27)

OMS will be well represented at this meeting. It is the final meeting before the ancillary services filing.

Administrative Update – Bill Smith

The administrative update was sent to Directors via email and follows these minutes. The following items were highlighted and discussed:

- The upcoming FERC filings for June are: ancillary services and RECB-II and cross-border pricing.
- The Northwest Subgroup meeting was held on April 4-6 in Minneapolis.
- The upcoming resource adequacy conference

Announcements:

- The next OMS Executive Committee meeting is Tuesday, April 25 at 2:00 pm CDT.
- The next OMS Board of Directors meeting is Thursday, May 11 at 2:00 pm CDT.

Recording of the call ended at 3:57pm CST.

OMS

**Organization of MISO States
Report of the Treasurer
Kim Pizzingrilli, Pennsylvania PUC
to the
Board of Directors
April 13, 2006
Report for March 2006**

CASH ON HAND

The beginning balance as of March 1 for the Wells Fargo Business Performance Savings Account was \$27,700.01. There was a monthly service fee of \$20.00* that was charged to the account. Interest earned for this month was \$49.45. The March 31, 2006 balance was \$27,729.46.

The beginning balance as of March 1 for the Chase Bank One Checking account was \$53,420.70. The total disbursements from the checking account for March 2006 were \$32,899.39, deposits totaled \$120.00 and interest earned was \$24.67. As of March 31, 2006, the checking account bank/book balance was \$20,665.39 (with no checks outstanding).

The Balance for the Certificate of Deposit as of March 31, 2006 is \$101,952.38.

The total certificates of deposit, savings and checking account balances as of February 28, 2006 is **\$ 150,347.82**.

* - On this particular "level" of savings account, when a balance goes below an average of \$30,000 daily, the account is charged a service fee. The bank's recommendation if we were not going to maintain the minimum balance of \$30,000, to drop down to a lower level account which does not pay as much interest, but also does not charge a service fee. Since the CD pays out this month, increasing the balance above the \$30,000 threshold, we will reevaluate the type of account in the future.

OMS

TREASURER'S REPORT
Organization of MISO States
March 31, 2006

Certificates of Deposit

TOTALS

Balance as of 3/1/06	\$	101,952.38	
<hr/>			
Certificates of Deposit Balance as of 3/31/06			\$ 101,952.38

Wells Fargo Business Performance Savings Account

Balance as of 3/1/06	\$	27,700.01	
WD Monthly Service Fee	\$	(20.00)	
DEP Interest on Savings	\$	49.45	
<hr/>			
Business Performance Savings Account Balance as of 3/31/06			\$ 27,729.46

Chase Bank One Commercial Checking with Interest

Balance as of 3/1/06	\$	53,420.70	
3/9/06 DEP Corsair. Memory stick rebate	\$	10.00	
3/15/06 DEP Capitol Connection. Refund of unused FERC Capitol Connection hours.	\$	100.00	
3/15/06 DEP Warren Day reimbursement for OMS Annual Meeting luncheon fee.	\$	10.00	
DEP Interest on Checking	\$	24.67	
<hr/>			
Total Deposits	\$	144.67	

Checks and Charges

Date	Check #	Descriptions	
3/3/06	1910	Ryun, Givens, Wenthe CPAs Preparation of Federal Forms 1096 and 1099 for 2005.	\$ 54.75
3/3/06	1911	Combined Systems Technology Engineering block time (20 hrs. at \$105/hr) for service to OMS computers and network.	\$ 2,226.00
3/3/06	1912	MO for travel to OMS Market Subcommittee meeting and Transmission Rights Task Force meeting. 1/31/06 & 2/1/06 Carmel.	\$ 237.27
3/3/06	1913	IL for travel to MISO-PJM Joint Board on Security Constrained Economic Dispatch 2/12/06 Washington, DC.	\$ 940.22
3/3/06	1914	IA for travel to Federal-State Joint Board on Economic Dispatch. 2/12/06 Washington, DC.	\$ 436.74
3/3/06	1915	ED for travel to Federal-State Board on Economic Dispatch, NARUC, National Electricity Delivery Forum. 2/11 -16/06 Washington, DC.	\$ 130.00

3/7/06	1916	IA for travel advance to RECB Task Force. 3/20 - 3/22/06 Carmel.	\$	410.00
3/7/06	1917	ND for travel to Joint Board on Economic Dispatch. 2/12/06 Washington, DC.	\$	699.92
3/7/06	1918	MI for out of pocket for travel to MISO AC and BOD meetings .	\$	375.73
3/7/06	1919	MI for travel to MISO AC and BOB meetings.	\$	125.95
3/7/06	1920	IA for travel to MISO Market Subcommittee meeting. 2/28/06 Carmel.	\$	422.74
3/7/06	1921	IA for travel to MISO Market Subcommittee meeting. 2/27 - 28/06 Carmel.	\$	378.21
3/10/06	W/D	PayChex fee for February 2006.	\$	189.00
3/21/06	1922	Office Furniture USA Lateral file cabinet.	\$	431.74
3/21/06	1923	100 Court Investors Office rent and parking for April 2006.	\$	874.21
3/21/06	1924	Chase Card Services OMS VISA expenses for February 2006. (see below for details)	\$	1,108.94
3/21/06	1925	Infomax Office Systems OMS copier/printer/fax April rental.	\$	172.31
3/21/06	1926	InterCall February meetings' conference calls.	\$	1,921.19
3/21/06	1927	Qwest February office DSL, phone and fax calls.	\$	221.21
3/21/06	1928	Combined Systems Technology March - May spam filtering	\$	20.67
3/21/06	1929	DWX Internet April Web Hosting	\$	35.00
3/21/06	1930	IA for travel to RECB meeting. 2/9 - 10/06. Carmel.	\$	828.40
3/21/06	1931	MI for travel to MISO/PJM and Common Market meeting 3/2/06. Wilmington, DE.	\$	362.04
3/21/06	1932	IA for Travel to MISO MSC meeting 3/3/06. Carmel.	\$	603.30
3/21/06	1933	MO for travel to OMS presentation at National Electric Delivery Forum 2/5 - 16/06. Washington, DC.	\$	191.22
3/21/06	1934	WI for travel to MISO meetings 2/15 - 16/06. Carmel.	\$	482.63
3/21/06	1935	MT for travel to State FERC Joint Board for Economic Dispatch 2/12/06. Washington, DC.	\$	1,144.35
3/21/06	1936	IA for travel to MISO meeting. 2/15/06 Carmel.	\$	332.98
3/21/06	1937	IA for travel to MISO Ancillary Services Stakeholders meeting 2/7/06 Carmel.	\$	294.57
3/21/06	1938	IA for travel to MISO MSC meeting. 1/31/06 Carmel.	\$	590.77
3/21/06	1939	IA for travel to MISO AC meeting. 1/17 - 18/06 Carmel.	\$	252.18

3/21/06	1940	IA for travel to MISO Market Subcommittee and OMS FTR WG meeting. 1/9 - 11/06 Carmel.	\$	563.53
3/21/06	1941	IA for travel to MISO meetings. 12/7 - 8/2005 Carmel.	\$	225.35
3/21/06	1942	IA for travel advance for travel to MISO Transmission Rights TF and MSC meetings 4/4 - 6/06 Carmel.	\$	250.00
3/21/06	1943	IA for travel advance for travel to MISO Ancillary Services TF meeting. 4/10 - 11/06 Carmel.	\$	250.00
3/23/06	1944	ED for travel to MISO meetings 3/14 -15/06 Carmel.	\$	50.32
3/23/06	1945	Hartford Insurance Company Workers Compensation and Employers Liability Insurance. 2005 Audit Adjustment.	\$	247.00
3/31/06	W/D	ED - Deferred Compensation Deposit (Including Employee W/H and Employer Match)	\$	1,916.67
3/31/06	W/D	OM - Deferred Compensation Deposit (Including	\$	750.00
3/31/06	W/D	March Payroll (Including Employer & Employee taxes)	\$	12,152.28

Total Checks and Charges \$ 32,899.39

CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCE AS OF 3/31/06 \$ 20,665.98

CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT, SAVINGS AND CHECKING ACCOUNT BALANCES AS OF 3/31/06 \$ 150,347.82

CHASE CHECKING ACCOUNT RECONCILIATION

	Check #		
Bank Balance		\$	26,855.86
Less: Checks O/S	1891	\$	295.00
	1917	\$	699.92
	1920	\$	422.74
	1930	\$	828.40
	1932	\$	603.30
	1933	\$	191.22
	1934	\$	482.63
	W/D	\$	1,916.67
	W/D	\$	750.00
Book Balance		\$	<u>20,665.98</u>

CHASE OMS VISA CARD PURCHASES

ED	Hotel charges for Washington, DC February 11 - 15 for (1) FERC Joint Board and Economic Dispatch meeting, (2) NARUC Winter Meeting, and (3) National Electric Delivery Forum.	\$	932.06
ED	Miscellaneous charges for Washington, DC February 11 - 15 for (1) FERC Joint Board and Economic Dispatch meeting, (2) NARUC Winter Meeting, and (3) National Electric Delivery Forum.	\$	3.30
ED	Hotel charges for Jacksonville, FL February 26 - 28 for Generation Summit Conference.	\$	61.66
ED	Rental car charges for Jacksonville, FL February 26 - 28 for Generation Summit Conference.	\$	67.06
ED	Rental car gas charges for Jacksonville, FL February 26 - 28 for Generation Summit Conference.	\$	11.62

OM	Fed Ex charges for OMS deposit slips from Missouri Commission to OMS office February 8, 2006.	\$	13.93
OM	Fed Ex charges for materials sent for approval to OMS Treasurer February 14, 2006.	\$	19.31
	Total Chase Card Purchases Feb 2006		<u><u>\$ 1,108.94</u></u>

COMMENTS OF THE OMS AT THE MIDWEST ISO ANNUAL STAKEHOLDERS MEETING

The Organization of MISO States (OMS) appreciates this opportunity to present to the Midwest ISO Board of Directors areas where the OMS recommends that the Midwest ISO focus its attention and its resources going forward. In addition, the OMS would like to commend the Midwest ISO and its Board in several areas, including:

- Accessible Board members, management, and staff who listen to stakeholders and state commissions;
- Top-flight committed staff;
- Focus on maintaining a high level of reliability;
- Progress toward transparent pricing;
- Improved planning; and
- Continuing efforts to control costs while trying to be responsive to stakeholder requests for new or improved capabilities.

OMS expects the Midwest ISO will continue to make progress in all of these areas and looks forward to future work with the Midwest ISO. With respect to Midwest ISO operations and planning there are several values that the OMS would emphasize as key elements to the ongoing success of the organization.

- **The OMS values operational excellence with primary attention to core Midwest ISO functions.**
 - The Midwest ISO's system reliability and properly functioning market operations are equally important.
 - The Midwest ISO should continue to seek cost-effective ways of minimizing uplift charges and where needed, improving other areas of operations. Uplift charges and transfers complicate stakeholders' assessments of the costs and benefits of membership in a regional transmission organization (RTO). Minimizing uplift charges will require the Midwest ISO to fully understand and address operational issues that cause uplift charges.
 - Billing and settlement problems divert resources from alternative efforts that could potentially increase benefits stakeholders receive from RTO operations.
 - As the Midwest ISO takes on additional functions (e.g., acting as a system coordinator for non-Midwest ISO members or operating a renewable energy

credits exchange), the Midwest ISO should not lose focus of its core functions of reliability and market operations.

- **The OMS values clear explanations and understandable measurements of the benefits and costs of Midwest ISO services.**
 - The OMS supports a transparent and participatory ongoing evaluation of benefits and costs. A representation of costs and benefits on an RTO sub-regional basis and a state-by-state basis is useful to state regulators.
 - Demonstrations of benefits from the Midwest ISO's services in easily understandable, credible measurements are critical for strong support of the Midwest ISO and the services it provides to stakeholders.
 - Demonstrated consumer benefits should include both reliability and economic benefits.
 - The Midwest ISO should rely on the best available objective measures to demonstrate benefits. For simulation modeling, assumptions and parameters for the study of costs and benefits must be carefully considered and made explicit to stakeholders.

- **The OMS values effective control of Midwest ISO costs.**
 - The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission expects the Midwest ISO board to control costs.
 - Effective cost control includes sufficient detail, accurate and proper budgeting, transparency, and public availability of cost information.

- **The OMS values an independent review of the transmission needs for the Midwest ISO region.**
 - Independent regional transmission planning is a critical RTO function that is required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and the Midwest ISO should devote significant attention to regional transmission planning..
 - Independent regional and inter-regional transmission planning requires significant resources, especially with respect to the number of employees with sufficient training and expertise.

- The OMS supports the Midwest ISO providing a critical and independent review of the plans provided by member transmission owners.
 - The move to a planning process in MTEP06 that will consider economic as well as reliability benefits when planning optimal grid expansion is a very positive development.
 - The Midwest ISO should consider expanding its transmission planning timeframe to at least ten years.
 - The Midwest ISO should better integrate its transmission planning function with other functions of the Midwest ISO such as resource adequacy, maintenance, ancillary services markets development, and development of long-term transmission rights.
 - To the extent that reliable information is available, the Midwest ISO should take account of future generator retirements in its transmission planning process.
 - In addition to its work on the issue of transmission cost allocation, the Midwest ISO should identify and address other barriers to efficient and cost effective regional and inter-regional transmission expansion.
- **The OMS values the development of efficient, cost-effective, and reliable approaches to resource adequacy.**
- The OMS commends the Midwest ISO staff for expressly seeking OMS input to the Midwest ISO's resource adequacy policy, including the Midwest ISO staff proposal for a resource adequacy plan that addresses adequacy needs in the Midwest ISO's wholesale energy market.
 - To maximize the quality of the OMS contribution to that effort, the OMS Resource Adequacy Working Group is planning a conference for the OMS Commissioners and Staff in order to better understand the breadth of issues related to resource adequacy and the implications of various resource adequacy alternatives for electric customers in our States as well as for reliability in the Midwest ISO region.
 - The OMS looks forward to improved communications with the Midwest ISO as we continue to work together on development of a long-term plan for resource adequacy.

- **The OMS values cost-effective improvements in the Midwest ISO's coordination with neighboring markets and transmission systems. To assist in the development of a PJM and MISO Joint and Common Market (JCM), the Organization of MISO States (OMS) Board of Directors adopted a set of common principles, which are provided in Attachment A.**
 - The Midwest ISO and PJM are required by FERC Orders to implement a joint and common market that satisfies cost/benefit principles. The OMS urges the Midwest ISO to implement all common market elements satisfying appropriate cost/benefit thresholds in a timely fashion.
 - The OMS' September 2005 comments to the Midwest ISO Board suggested, "The Midwest ISO's efforts to reduce inefficiencies created by seams with neighboring non-market regions need to continue at the same time as joint and common market development." The OMS has not noted significant additional progress in reducing efficiencies with non-market regions and believes the Midwest ISO should exert more effort on this issue.

Attachment A

**ORGANIZATION OF MISO STATES
STATEMENT OF COMMON PRINCIPLES CONCERNING
MISO and PJM JOINT AND COMMON MARKET DEVELOPMENT**

March 9, 2006

To assist in the development of a PJM and MISO Joint and Common Market (JCM), the Organization of MISO States (OMS) Board of Directors has agreed on and adopted the following set of common principles.

Cost Benefit Analysis

OMS supports a transparent and participatory evaluation of JCM benefits and costs. In addition to a demonstration of aggregate joint benefits, a representation of costs and benefits on an RTO-by-RTO basis, an RTO sub-regional basis, and a state-by-state basis is useful to state regulators.

MISO employs the PROMOD program for benefit/cost analysis. PROMOD is a generator and portfolio modeling system containing nodal LMP forecasting and transmission analysis. OMS agrees that a production cost analysis is a suitable element of a comprehensive benefit/cost analysis package. To develop robust analysis, clear parameters for the benefit/cost study must be carefully considered because the assumptions used in simulation modeling directly affect the program outputs. Other methods of analysis must also be used to develop results so that market participants can make informed decisions.

Functionality

In 2002, PJM and MISO supported the development of a common electricity market. Yet subsequent to 2002, PJM and MISO designed differing market elements. The RTOs have not yet reconciled the 2002 commitment to coordinated common markets with their respective separate design paths in 2005. As a result, many of the MISO and PJM market design elements differ at this time. Ideally, when changes are needed, both RTOs and stakeholders would coordinate to develop compatible or common market design elements, if beneficial to do so.

Technical Feasibility

At the FERC-State Joint Board for security constrained economic dispatch meeting in Chicago in 2005, PJM and MISO stated that each have developed the capability to model most of the electrical system of the entire Eastern Interconnect. Nevertheless, the RTOs have speculated that operation of a security constrained economic dispatch of a single market across the PJM and MISO region might not be technically feasible. OMS

requests that both RTOs move beyond speculation and ascertain if broadening the geographic scope of the market will lead directly to improved efficiencies. The technical feasibility of a single market across the combined PJM and MISO region should be studied to determine if the benefits of a single market outweigh the costs. The resulting impacts to states and smaller regions should then be identified.

Ancillary Services

PJM has developed a market design for operating reserves and regulation, and MISO is currently considering market concepts for several ancillary services. OMS supports optimization of ancillary services across the PJM and MISO border through coordinated or common market approaches.

Resource Adequacy

PJM developed a separate capacity market along with its energy market, while MISO and its stakeholders are exploring alternative approaches to resource adequacy. OMS believes that resource adequacy is a critical element of electric service reliability. Therefore, PJM's and MISO's resource adequacy constructs should not conflict and should not be incompatible.

***** NOTE: The following is not part of draft of written comments, but provides possible talking points regarding the unique role of state regulators and examples of how MISO might improve its state outreach efforts *****

- **State regulators' role and involvement in Midwest ISO affairs is unique among MISO stakeholders. The Midwest ISO should keep in mind state regulators' responsibilities to protect the public interest in their states, as well as their critical role in and relationship to the Midwest ISO's operations and development.**
 - State regulators within OMS are integral to the development of electricity delivery systems and utility operations that ensure reliable and affordable retail service. For example, state regulators often approve the need for and location of electricity delivery infrastructure and, in some cases, electricity production infrastructure. Moreover, state regulators determine retail rate recovery of associated capital and operating costs.
 - State regulators within OMS must address publicly, according to strict procedural rules and laws, issues regarding utilities' infrastructure and Midwest ISO-related activities.
- **The OMS supports the Midwest ISO's renewed efforts at state outreach and offers the following suggestions.**
 - Availability of a current reference listing for a few key issues on MISO's website, perhaps a top ten, would be valuable to state regulators. The information could include a brief high-level factual description of the issue, current solution/status (updated almost immediately upon change), MISO group(s) dealing with the issue, and the MISO subject matter expert contact information. This listing could be in whatever form (three-minute voice recording, very short Power Point, one-page summary, etc.) that is easiest for MISO to keep as current as possible. Having the same information available in MISO's words, in the same place, at any time, from anywhere would help those who need to know the latest about an issue, but may not regularly work on it.
 - Some state regulators would find it useful for MISO to tailor some portal training to state regulators who are not market participants, and who have a different purpose - and limited access - when viewing MISO portal information.
 - MISO keeps on its web-site a running list of the filings it has made with FERC. This is very helpful. It would be helpful if MISO also kept on its web-site a running list of FERC Orders applicable to MISO. Some sort of

April 19, 2006
Page 8

cross-reference between the underlying MISO filing and the resulting FERC Order would be particularly useful.



Organization of MISO States

100 Court Avenue, Suite 218
Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Phone: 515-243-0742
Fax: 515-243-0746
www.misostates.org

OMS Executive Director Report April 10, 2006

FERC Activity

1. On March 17, the FERC issued its order conditionally approving the withdrawal of LG&E and Kentucky Utilities from the Midwest ISO in Dockets EC06-4 and ER06-20. Under the plan, tariff administration will be delegated to the Southwest Power Pool. The Tennessee Valley Authority will serve as reliability coordinator.
2. The FERC will convene a technical conference on Cost Allocation Methodology for High Voltage Baseline Reliability Projects in the Midwest ISO Region. The scope of the conference is limited to the system-wide allocation of the cost of new transmission facilities of 345 KV or above. The conference will be on April 21. OMS has been invited to appear on the first panel.
3. On March 8 the President named Philip D. Moeller, of Washington, and Jon Wellingshoff, of Nevada, to be Commission Members.
4. on march 16, the commission issued a notice of a voluntary survey on advanced metering and demand response programs in Docket No. AD06-2. Responses are due April 12 unless an extension is requested.

EPACT Scorecard

The following summary lists selected items with regional impact. For a more complete list, see FERC's EPACT section at:
<http://www.ferc.gov/legal/maj-ord-reg/fed-sta/ene-pol-act.asp>.
The Department of Energy's corresponding list is at a redesigned website: <http://www.electricity.doe.gov/epact2005.cfm>

1. The Department of Energy held a technical conference in Chicago on March 29 to discuss the congestion study and the designation of National Interest Electric Transmission Corridors under section 1221 of the Act. Commissioner Wright participated on behalf of OMS. The transcript is expected shortly. Presentations, conference information, and a link to an archive of the webcast is at <http://www.energetics.com/1221technicalconference/>
2. The report of the Regional Joint Board on Economic Dispatch, required by Section 1298 of the EPACT is in final preparation for approval by the Joint Board members and submission to FERC by May 2. The staff effort is headed by Randy Rismiller (Illinois) and John Sillin (Maryland).

OMS-MISO Activity:

1. A Special Advisory Committee meeting will be held on April 12 to act on Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee Recommendations.
2. The Annual Stakeholder meeting will be held April 19 in Carmel. OMS President Gaw will make a presentation on behalf of OMS.
3. The Ad Hoc Committee on MISO Committee Structure will meet on April 28.
4. June 1 is the anticipated date for two significant MISO filings: Cost allocations for cross-border and economic facilities, and Resource Adequacy, also referred to as Reliability through Markets.
5. OMS is preparing a conference on Resource Adequacy issues in Chicago on May 8-9.

Public Relations

1. Pending speaking/meeting invitations:
 - April 27 – American Association of Blacks in Energy Annual National Conference (Detroit).