

What kind of changes should LOLE studies and the PRA make to the modeling/accreditation of Load Modifying Resources (LMRs)?

Should the modeling of outages in LOLE studies be modified?

Should MISO investigate or validate GADS submissions?

What should be the prioritization of potential solutions?

Implemented for 2020 PRA vs Investigated for a subsequent filing?

Other adjustments to PRA inputs?

*The Organization of MISO States Resources and Markets Work Groups (OMSWG) support working with MISO and stakeholders on the Resource Availability and Need (RAN), Loss of Load Expectation (LOLE) Assumptions, and Capacity Accreditation and Requirements as targeted projects for 2019. Working with stakeholders on shortening the time period for the LOLE analysis, assumptions, and capacity procurement process, especially for the shoulder seasons when a lot of resources and transmission lines are out on maintenance, could benefit all participants by working better together on unit outage planning, availability of transmission lines and capacity resources. However, the OMSWG strongly recommend that any changes proposed for the Phase II RAN not be implemented until the 2021-2022 PRA. Such a delay would allow MISO and stakeholders to review the impact of the Phase I RAN Federal Regulatory Commission (FERC) tariff filings on the results of the 2019-2020 PRA and determine if any other changes need to be made on the Load Modifying Resources (LMR) operations, Demand Resources (DR) testing, and the outage coordination refinements. MISO should take an action item to analyze and report back to stakeholders and the MISO Board of Directors on the effects of these three tariff changes on the upcoming PRA prior to another PRA taking place.*